



UNIVERSITY OF
SAN FRANCISCO

Leo T. McCarthy Center
for Public Service and
the Common Good

**Bay Citizen/University of San Francisco Survey
Findings Memo (Amended)
October 2011**

Contents	
Survey Overview	1
Survey Methodology	2
Principal Findings	3
Mayor's Race	5
District Attorney's Race	12
Sheriff's Race	14
Proposition C	15
Proposition D	19
Questionnaire and Top-Line Results	22
Errata	29
About the University of San Francisco	30

<http://www.usfca.edu/centers/mccarthy/>

Survey Overview

The Leo T. McCarthy Center for Public Service and the Common Good at the University of San Francisco, in partnership with *The Bay Citizen* launched its inaugural municipal election survey in October 2011 to offer an objective and independent analysis of the state of the election.

The poll represents a unique snapshot in time and offers statistically significant information about likely voters interviewed at the time of the survey. It is not intended to be, nor is it capable of being, predictive of the November election.

This report presents the responses of the 551 persons surveyed. The margin of error for the population estimates is $\pm 4.2\%$. Margins for subgroups are larger; significantly so in some cases.

This report contains findings on the following topics:

- Public perceptions of whether San Francisco is moving in the right or wrong direction and the job performance of the acting mayor.
- First, second, and third place preferences in the election for Mayor of San Francisco;
- First, second, and third place preferences in the election for San Francisco District Attorney;
- First place preferences in the election for San Francisco Sheriff;
- Opinions on the two pension reform ballot measures, Proposition C and Proposition D

Methodology

The Bay Citizen/University of San Francisco McCarthy Center survey was conducted from October 7th through October 13, 2011. We interviewed by telephone 551 San Francisco likely voters, 50 of whom were a Chinese language oversample. The survey was fielded using Random Digit Dialing (RDD), with appropriate San Francisco voter screens, to both landlines and cell phones (23% of the sample).¹ The sampling margin of error on the survey was approximately +/- 4.2%, but the error for subsamples of the poll is larger. The survey is considered an overlapping dual-frame sample, where callers could be reached by either cell or landline, though there were separate lists to reach these respondents. We made the assumption that cell-phones were personal use devices, not shared among adults. Few cell-phone respondents used a landline, as this was one of the questions, so weighting was limited to weighting the cell phone sample up to the estimate proportion of San Francisco who use a cell phone as their primary phone, around 30%. In effect, the number of dual-phone users was small enough to ignore for weighting purposes.

Two post-stratification weights were used: housing tenure and ethnicity. Ethnicity was a necessary weight to correct for the oversample. Chinese voters are expected to be around 20% of this year's electorate, and their specific voting patterns are of particular interest to the political community. The overall Chinese sample was taken from both the 501 'standard sample' and the 50 'oversample'. Within the oversample, 48 interviews were conducted in Cantonese (the dominant Chinese language in San Francisco). Non-Chinese Asians were not considered Chinese for weighting or other analytical purposes.

We also need to perform a post-stratification weight on renter/homeowner due to the imbalance in the original sample. Tenure is usually the single-biggest indicator of political differences in San Francisco. Note that normal population is 2/3 renter, but the ratio changes for voters. In an off-year election, homeowner/renter is around 45/55%. Much research has been done on how housing tenure affects San Francisco politics, and how the two populations often have vastly different voting results.

The University of San Francisco McCarthy Center wrote, oversaw the administration, and performed all survey analyses.

¹ MAXimum Research of Cherry Hill, New Jersey was the phonebank.

Principal Findings

General Findings²

Likely voters surveyed are very positive about the direction of the city and the performance of its elected officials.

63.1% of those surveyed say that San Francisco is moving in the right direction.

77.4% of those surveyed approve of the performance of Acting Mayor Ed Lee (23.1% strong approve, 54.3% somewhat approve).

60.7% of those surveyed approve of the performance of the Board of Supervisors (6.9% strongly approve, 53.8% somewhat approve).

Overall, 45.1% of respondents listed Ed Lee as one of their top three choices. Dennis Herrera (19.8%), Leland Yee (17.6%), David Chiu (16.8%) and John Avalos (14.8%) followed.

Mayoral Election

Ed Lee leads the field in first place preferences by a substantial margin and leads the field among most demographic subgroupings.

Respondents are less decided about their second and third place preferences for mayor. 39.8% are undecided about their second choice, and 56.2% are undecided about their third choice.

Dennis Herrera is the leading contender among the rest of the candidates, however most of the remaining ten candidates are in the margin of error of each other candidate.

District Attorney Election

George Gascon has a sizeable lead over the rest of the contenders among first place preferences, however nearly half of voters (49.1%) remain undecided in the election. Each of the three leading contenders remain within the margin of error of each other candidate.

75.6% of voters are undecided about their second choice candidate and 83.9% of voters are undecided about their third choice candidate.

Sheriff Election

Although Ross Mirkarimi leads the field with 20.8 percent of first place votes, all three leading contenders are just barely within the margin of error. 50.3% of respondents are undecided about their first place votes.

² Respondents who indicate that they “lean” towards supporting or opposing a particular candidate or measure are considered as supporters or opponents for the purposes of this findings memo.

Propositions C and D

Propositions C and D are the two competing pension reform measures. Because these are competing measures, it matters not only if either measure will pass but if both do, which of the two receives the highest level of support in November.

Proposition C currently is favored by a wide margin, 44.9% in favor to 19.2% in opposition, with 35.9% undecided.

Proposition D currently is favored by a small margin, 36.0% in favor to 23.4% in opposition, with 40.5% undecided.

Mayor's Race

This section contains cross-tabulations of respondents' first choices for San Francisco Mayor.

First Choice Preference for Mayor by Gender			
	Male	Female	Total
City Attorney Dennis Herrera	8.2	8.0	8.1
State Senator Leland Yee	7.2	5.8	6.5
Appointed Mayor Ed Lee	29.0	33.3	31.2
Assessor-Recorder Phil Ting	0.7	0.2	0.5
President of the Board of Supervisors David Chiu	3.9	2.3	3.1
Bevan Dufty	5.4	5.0	5.2
District 11 Supervisor John Avalos	8.9	5.9	7.4
Retired Administrator Tony Hall	4.4	2.2	3.2
Small Businesswoman and Mother Michela Alioto-Pier	2.8	5.1	4.0
Public Defender Jeff Adachi	6.5	3.7	5.1
Entrepreneur and Educator Joanna Rees	2.4	2.6	2.5
Someone else	3.5	0.9	2.1
Undecided	17.0	25.0	21.1

First Choice Preference for Mayor by Age					
	18-35	36-50	51-65	66+	Total
City Attorney Dennis Herrera	1.3	9.2	10.4	7.1	8.1
State Senator Leland Yee	0.0	3.8	5.9	9.6	6.5
Appointed Mayor Ed Lee	29.3	23.7	26.3	39.5	31.2
Assessor-Recorder Phil Ting	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.2	0.5
President of the Board of Supervisors David Chiu	0.0	2.9	3.6	3.4	3.1
Bevan Dufty	9.4	6.9	4.3	4.3	5.2
District 11 Supervisor John Avalos	8.6	6.6	9.9	5.3	7.4
Retired Administrator Tony Hall	11.3	1.0	0.7	4.7	3.2
Small Businesswoman and Mother Michela Alioto-Pier	2.2	3.7	4.1	4.3	4.0
Public Defender Jeff Adachi	2.2	11.4	3.7	3.8	5.1
Entrepreneur and Educator Joanna Rees	2.2	2.0	4.3	1.4	2.5
Someone else	0.0	0.0	5.4	0.8	2.1
Undecided	33.4	28.9	21.4	14.5	21.1

University of San Francisco, Leo T. McCarthy Center for Public Service and the Common Good, October 2011

First Choice Preference for Mayor by Ideology					
	PROGRESSIVE	LIBERAL	MODERATE	OR CONSERVATIVE	Total
City Attorney Dennis Herrera	8.3	9.6	10.1	2.0	8.1
State Senator Leland Yee	8.8	5.0	6.2	7.0	6.5
Appointed Mayor Ed Lee	14.0	38.5	31.8	36.1	31.2
Assessor-Recorder Phil Ting	1.7	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.5
President of the Board of Supervisors David Chiu	1.6	3.5	3.1	4.1	3.1
Bevan Dufty	8.9	5.1	5.9	0.0	5.2
District 11 Supervisor John Avalos	22.0	4.6	1.9	3.5	7.4
Retired Administrator Tony Hall	0.0	1.3	2.3	12.3	3.2
Small Businesswoman and Mother Michela Alioto-Pier	3.2	3.3	3.6	6.9	4.0
Public Defender Jeff Adachi	4.3	3.3	7.5	6.2	5.1
Entrepreneur and Educator Joanna Rees	2.5	2.3	2.8	2.7	2.5
Someone else	4.4	1.9	0.0	2.9	2.1
Undecided	20.5	21.2	25.0	16.2	21.1

First Choice Preference for Mayor by Labor Union Household			
	Yes	No	Total
City Attorney Dennis Herrera	12.8	6.5	8.1
State Senator Leland Yee	9.5	5.4	6.5
Appointed Mayor Ed Lee	23.8	33.8	31.2
Assessor-Recorder Phil Ting	0.4	0.5	0.5
President of the Board of Supervisors David Chiu	4.4	2.7	3.1
Bevan Dufty	5.3	5.2	5.2
District 11 Supervisor John Avalos	10.1	6.4	7.4
Retired Administrator Tony Hall	2.4	3.5	3.2
Small Businesswoman and Mother Michela Alioto-Pier	5.0	3.6	4.0
Public Defender Jeff Adachi	4.0	5.5	5.1
Entrepreneur and Educator Joanna Rees	4.9	1.7	2.5
Someone else	3.0	1.8	2.1
Undecided	14.3	23.5	21.1

University of San Francisco, Leo T. McCarthy Center for Public Service and the Common Good, October 2011

First Choice Preference for Mayor by Sexual Orientation				
	Straight	LGBT	Refused	Total
City Attorney Dennis Herrera	7.6	11.9	5.1	8.1
State Senator Leland Yee	6.9	4.2	6.7	6.5
Appointed Mayor Ed Lee	33.0	21.7	33.9	31.2
Assessor-Recorder Phil Ting	0.2	2.2	0.0	0.5
President of the Board of Supervisors David Chiu	3.7	1.8	0.0	3.1
Bevan Dufty	4.1	10.7	3.8	5.2
District 11 Supervisor John Avalos	5.2	20.0	2.5	7.4
Retired Administrator Tony Hall	3.5	2.2	2.5	3.2
Small Businesswoman and Mother Michela Alioto-Pier	3.9	1.1	10.0	4.0
Public Defender Jeff Adachi	5.6	4.2	2.5	5.1
Entrepreneur and Educator Joanna Rees	2.4	3.1	2.5	2.5
Someone else	1.4	2.9	6.6	2.1
Undecided	22.4	14.1	23.8	21.1

First Choice Preference for Mayor by Race and Ethnicity							
	Latino/Hispanic	White/Caucasian	Black/African-American	Other	API - Chinese	API - Non-Chinese	Total
City Attorney Dennis Herrera	22.6	10.2	0.0	10.3	2.6	4.1	8.1
State Senator Leland Yee	5.8	5.3	11.7	5.1	7.7	8.3	6.5
Appointed Mayor Ed Lee	32.2	23.2	33.4	24.9	57.3	21.9	31.2
Assessor-Recorder Phil Ting	0.0	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5
President of the Board of Supervisors David Chiu	0.0	3.3	0.0	2.9	4.1	7.9	3.1
Bevan Dufty	0.0	7.2	3.7	3.3	2.3	0.0	5.2
District 11 Supervisor John Avalos	9.1	9.2	2.0	4.6	4.2	13.0	7.4
Retired Administrator Tony Hall	2.9	4.1	0.0	1.7	3.3	0.0	3.2
Small Businesswoman and Mother Michela Alioto-Pier	0.0	3.2	6.3	21.4	0.7	0.0	4.0
Public Defender Jeff Adachi	0.0	6.0	8.3	3.3	2.2	7.9	5.1
Entrepreneur and Educator Joanna Rees	0.0	3.7	0.0	0.0	1.4	4.1	2.5
Someone else	0.0	2.8	5.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.1
Undecided	27.4	21.2	28.3	22.5	14.3	32.8	21.1

First Choice Preference for Mayor by Housing Tenure				
	OWN	RENT	OTHER	Total
City Attorney Dennis Herrera	9.7	7.7	0.0	8.1
State Senator Leland Yee	7.0	5.7	8.4	6.5
Appointed Mayor Ed Lee	30.9	28.0	58.9	31.2
Assessor-Recorder Phil Ting	0.3	0.7	0.0	0.5
President of the Board of Supervisors David Chiu	3.4	2.7	4.2	3.1
Bevan Dufty	3.9	7.0	0.0	5.2
District 11 Supervisor John Avalos	4.4	9.4	12.2	7.4
Retired Administrator Tony Hall	4.4	2.6	0.0	3.2
Small Businesswoman and Mother Michela Alioto-Pier	5.6	2.3	5.5	4.0
Public Defender Jeff Adachi	4.2	6.1	3.4	5.1
Entrepreneur and Educator Joanna Rees	3.8	1.8	0.0	2.5
Someone else	1.7	2.3	3.4	2.1
Undecided	21.0	23.5	4.2	21.1

First Choice Preference for Mayor by San Francisco Right Direction/Wrong Direction			
	Right	Wrong	Total
City Attorney Dennis Herrera	7.4	9.4	8.1
State Senator Leland Yee	7.1	5.4	6.5
Appointed Mayor Ed Lee	37.4	20.8	31.2
Assessor-Recorder Phil Ting	0.6	0.3	0.5
President of the Board of Supervisors David Chiu	3.7	2.1	3.1
Bevan Dufty	6.0	3.8	5.2
District 11 Supervisor John Avalos	6.0	9.8	7.4
Retired Administrator Tony Hall	1.6	6.0	3.2
Small Businesswoman and Mother Michela Alioto-Pier	3.7	4.4	4.0
Public Defender Jeff Adachi	3.2	8.4	5.1
Entrepreneur and Educator Joanna Rees	2.3	2.9	2.5
Someone else	0.2	5.4	2.1
Undecided	21.0	21.4	21.1

University of San Francisco, Leo T. McCarthy Center for Public Service and the Common Good, October 2011

First Choice Preference for Mayor by Closeness of Attention to Election					
	A LOT	SOMEWHAT	A LITTLE	NOT AT ALL	Total
City Attorney Dennis Herrera	8.8	8.9	7.9	4.6	8.1
State Senator Leland Yee	6.2	7.8	4.4	7.0	6.5
Appointed Mayor Ed Lee	41.6	31.7	24.6	19.0	31.2
Assessor-Recorder Phil Ting	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.9	0.5
President of the Board of Supervisors David Chiu	3.9	3.1	2.6	2.2	3.1
Bevan Dufty	6.4	6.0	3.2	3.9	5.2
District 11 Supervisor John Avalos	10.4	6.1	9.6	0.0	7.4
Retired Administrator Tony Hall	3.1	1.9	5.3	3.4	3.2
Small Businesswoman and Mother Michela Alioto-Pier	2.0	4.7	2.9	8.5	4.0
Public Defender Jeff Adachi	2.7	7.7	4.8	3.3	5.1
Entrepreneur and Educator Joanna Rees	1.8	2.4	2.5	4.8	2.5
Someone else	2.3	2.2	2.0	1.8	2.1
Undecided	10.8	16.6	30.4	40.6	21.1

University of San Francisco, Leo T. McCarthy Center for Public Service and the Common Good, October 2011

First Choice Preference for Mayor by Job Approval of Acting Mayor Ed Lee							
	STRONGLY APPROVE	SOMEWHAT APPROVE	<i>Total Approve</i>	SOMEWHAT DISAPPROVE	STRONGLY DISAPPROVE	<i>Total Disapprove</i>	Total
City Attorney Dennis Herrera	2.9	9.6	7.6	13.1	4.7	9.9	8.1
State Senator Leland Yee	3.2	6.8	5.8	9.9	7.2	8.9	6.5
Appointed Mayor Ed Lee	74.4	23.6	38.7	7.1	3.1	5.5	31.2
Assessor-Recorder Phil Ting	0.5	0.7	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5
President of the Board of Supervisors David Chiu	0.5	5.0	3.7	0.0	3.1	1.2	3.1
Bevan Dufty	3.4	6.7	5.7	4.0	2.6	3.5	5.2
District 11 Supervisor John Avalos	0.9	5.6	4.2	16.4	20.9	18.1	7.4
Retired Administrator Tony Hall	1.4	2.7	2.3	1.6	13.9	6.4	3.2
Small Businesswoman and Mother Michela Alioto-Pier	0.0	5.6	3.9	5.4	2.1	4.2	4.0
Public Defender Jeff Adachi	1.4	3.4	2.8	19.4	2.5	12.9	5.1
Entrepreneur and Educator Joanna Rees	0.0	3.3	2.3	1.6	6.3	3.4	2.5
Someone else	0.0	1.5	1.0	4.1	8.4	5.8	2.1
Undecided	11.2	25.7	21.4	17.4	25.0	20.4	21.1

University of San Francisco, Leo T. McCarthy Center for Public Service and the Common Good, October 2011

Mayoral Second Choice Preference by First Choice Preference														
	Second Choice													
		Dennis Herrera	Leland Yee	Ed Lee	Phil Ting	David Chiu	Bevan Dufty	John Avalos	Tony Hall	Michela Alioto-Pier	Jeff Adachi	Joanna Rees	Someone else	Undecided/Don't Know/No candidate
First Choice	Total First Choice	2nd Choice %	2nd Choice %	2nd Choice %	2nd Choice %	2nd Choice %	2nd Choice %	2nd Choice %	2nd Choice %	2nd Choice %	2nd Choice %	2nd Choice %	2nd Choice %	2nd Choice %
City Attorney Dennis Herrera	8.1	2.8	13.6	15.9	0	1.4	14.1	7.8	0	8.3	11.3	2.8	0	22.1
State Senator Leland Yee	6.5	5.3	4.6	16.8	0	6.4	6.7	10.6	0	6.9	5.1	2.2	1.8	33.7
Appointed Mayor Ed Lee	31.2	7.1	13.7	6.8	0.6	14.1	5.7	5	3.3	6.8	5.7	0.4	1.5	29.4
Assessor-Recorder Phil Ting	0.5	75.8	0	0	0	24.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
President of the Board of Supervisors David Chiu	3.1	0	20.7	40.5	0	8.7	0	7	0	3.7	12	3.7	0	3.7
Bevan Dufty	5.2	20.5	4.2	17.6	0	8.5	9	0	0	12.7	0	0	0	27.5
District 11 Supervisor John Avalos	7.4	6.4	12.6	23.7	0	5.2	2.9	2.5	0	2.9	10.4	0	4.8	28.4
Retired Administrator Tony Hall	3.2	3.5	11	10.7	0	12.8	0	0	3.5	9.3	3.5	24	0	21.8
Small Businesswoman and Mother Michela Alioto-Pier	4	0	0	21.5	0	5.7	19.1	0	5.7	2.9	12.5	10.4	0	22.2
Public Defender Jeff Adachi	5.1	10.7	4.3	9.3	0	18.3	6.5	13.4	0	10.8	9.6	2.2	2.2	12.8
Entrepreneur and Educator Joanna Rees	2.5	0	13	4.5	0	0	0	0	5.6	28.9	0	0	0	48
Someone else	2.1	27.1	10.2	5.4	0	0	0	5.4	0	0	5.4	0	24.4	22.2
Undecided	21.1	0	0	2.3	0	1.7	1.3	0.5	0.5	0	0	3.4	0	90.3

District Attorney's Race

This section contains cross-tabulations of respondents' first choices for San Francisco District Attorney.

First Choice Preference for District Attorney by Gender			
	Male	Female	Total
Assistant District Attorney Sharmin Bock	6.2	10.3	8.3
Trial Attorney Bill Fazio	13.3	6.1	9.6
Appointed District Attorney George Gascon	28.1	25.4	26.7
City Attorney and Commissioner David Onek	9.2	3.6	6.3
Undecided/Don't Know/No candidate	43.2	54.6	49.1

First Choice Preference for District Attorney by Age					
	18-35	36-50	51-65	66+	Total
Assistant District Attorney Sharmin Bock	4.9	4.3	10.3	9.4	Total
Trial Attorney Bill Fazio	2.2	10.9	13.0	7.7	8.3
Appointed District Attorney George Gascon	19.0	17.3	25.6	33.8	9.6
City Attorney and Commissioner David Onek	15.9	6.0	5.5	5.1	26.7
Undecided/Don't Know/No candidate	58.0	61.5	45.7	44.1	6.3

First Choice Preference for District Attorney by Labor Union Household			
	Yes	No	Total
Assistant District Attorney Sharmin Bock	8.5	8.3	8.3
Trial Attorney Bill Fazio	13.6	8.2	9.6
Appointed District Attorney George Gascon	30.8	25.3	26.7
City Attorney and Commissioner David Onek	10.9	4.7	6.3
Undecided/Don't Know/No candidate	36.2	53.5	49.1

First Choice Preference for District Attorney by Sexual Orientation				
	Straight	LGBT	Refused	Total
Assistant District Attorney Sharmin Bock	8.6	7.5	7.3	8.3
Trial Attorney Bill Fazio	8.4	16.5	6.6	9.6
Appointed District Attorney George Gascon	28.3	20.7	23.9	26.7
City Attorney and Commissioner David Onek	3.6	20.5	2.5	6.3
Undecided/Don't Know/No candidate	51.0	34.8	59.7	49.1

First Choice Preference for District Attorney by Ideology					
	PROGRESSIVE	LIBERAL	MODERATE	OR CONSERVATIVE	Total
Assistant District Attorney Sharmin Bock	8.0	10.2	6.2	8.0	8.3
Trial Attorney Bill Fazio	10.2	11.0	8.0	8.2	9.6
Appointed District Attorney George Gascon	20.6	28.2	31.8	23.6	26.7
City Attorney and Commissioner David Onek	14.1	4.1	4.9	3.6	6.3
Undecided/Don't Know/No candidate	47.1	46.6	49.1	56.6	49.1

First Choice Preference for District Attorney by Race and Ethnicity							
	Latino/Hispanic	White/Caucasian	Black/African-American	Other	API - Chinese	API - Non-Chinese	Total
Assistant District Attorney Sharmin Bock	5.6	5.1	3.6	3.5	22.5	6.8	8.3
Trial Attorney Bill Fazio	17.8	11.6	6.9	11.8	4.0	0.0	9.6
Appointed District Attorney George Gascon	22.3	29.2	22.0	21.9	25.8	13.0	26.7
City Attorney and Commissioner David Onek	0.0	6.6	4.8	7.9	7.6	0.0	6.3
Undecided/Don't Know/No candidate	54.3	47.5	62.7	54.9	40.1	80.2	49.1

First Choice Preference for District Attorney by Housing Tenure				
	OWN	RENT	OTHER	Total
Assistant District Attorney Sharmin Bock	7.3	7.4	22.8	8.3
Trial Attorney Bill Fazio	10.3	9.6	4.2	9.6
Appointed District Attorney George Gascon	29.2	24.9	22.8	26.7
City Attorney and Commissioner David Onek	5.2	7.7	3.4	6.3
Undecided/Don't Know/No candidate	48.0	50.3	47.0	49.1

Sheriff's Race

This section contains cross-tabulations of respondents' first choices for San Francisco Sheriff.

First Choice Preference for Sheriff by Gender			
	Male	Female	Total
Attorney General's Advisor Chris Cunnie	16.0	10.8	13.3
San Francisco Supervisor Ross Mirkarimi	21.1	20.5	20.8
San Francisco Sheriff's Captain Paul Miyamoto	13.1	12.6	12.9
David Wong	2.9	2.6	2.7
Undecided	46.9	53.5	50.3

First Choice Preference for Sheriff by Age					
	18-35	36-50	51-65	66+	Total
Attorney General's Advisor Chris Cunnie	6.4	2.7	14.8	18.6	13.3
San Francisco Supervisor Ross Mirkarimi	19.9	25.8	17.3	21.5	20.8
San Francisco Sheriff's Captain Paul Miyamoto	7.4	8.3	17.4	12.3	12.9
David Wong	2.2	2.6	2.4	3.2	2.7
Undecided	64.1	60.6	48.0	44.4	50.3

First Choice Preference for Sheriff by Labor Union Household			
	Yes	No	Total
Attorney General's Advisor Chris Cunnie	14.5	12.9	13.3
San Francisco Supervisor Ross Mirkarimi	28.4	18.2	20.8
San Francisco Sheriff's Captain Paul Miyamoto	17.1	11.4	12.9
David Wong	3.9	2.3	2.7
Undecided	36.1	55.2	50.3

First Choice Preference for Sheriff by Sexual Orientation				
	Straight	LGBT	Refused	Total
Attorney General's Advisor Chris Cunnie	14.2	13.1	5.9	13.3
San Francisco Supervisor Ross Mirkarimi	18.7	30.3	20.7	20.8
San Francisco Sheriff's Captain Paul Miyamoto	14.0	12.5	3.8	12.9
David Wong	3.2	0.0	4.1	2.7
Undecided	49.9	44.1	65.5	50.3

First Choice Preference for Sheriff by Ideology					
	PROGRESSIVE	LIBERAL	MODERATE	OR CONSERVATIVE	Total
Attorney General's Advisor Chris Cunnie	7.6	12.7	15.7	17.8	13.3
San Francisco Supervisor Ross Mirkarimi	37.4	17.0	16.3	15.4	20.8
San Francisco Sheriff's Captain Paul Miyamoto	13.1	12.7	12.0	14.1	12.9
David Wong	1.1	4.8	1.8	1.9	2.7
Undecided	40.8	52.9	54.2	50.8	50.3

First Choice Preference for Sheriff by Race and Ethnicity							
	Latino/Hispanic	White/Caucasian	Black/African-American	Other	API - Chinese	API - Non-Chinese	Total
Attorney General's Advisor Chris Cunnie	2.9	14.0	2.3	8.1	20.9	7.9	13.3
San Francisco Supervisor Ross Mirkarimi	0.0	22.6	16.9	20.2	22.8	10.9	20.8
San Francisco Sheriff's Captain Paul Miyamoto	28.5	12.0	14.4	11.8	7.8	41.0	12.9
David Wong	0.0	1.5	7.7	3.5	4.8	0.0	2.7
Undecided	68.6	49.9	58.6	56.5	43.5	40.1	50.3

First Choice Preference for Sheriff by Housing Tenure				
	OWN	RENT	OTHER	Total
Attorney General's Advisor Chris Cunnie	15.6	9.2	28.4	13.3
San Francisco Supervisor Ross Mirkarimi	15.1	24.4	32.3	20.8
San Francisco Sheriff's Captain Paul Miyamoto	13.2	13.5	5.5	12.9
David Wong	1.8	4.0	0.0	2.7
Undecided	54.3	48.9	33.8	50.3

Proposition C

This section contains cross-tabulations of respondents' first choices for Proposition C.

Support/Oppose Proposition C by Gender			
Prop C	Male	Female	Total
Support a lot	22.6	20.6	21.6
Support somewhat	21.9	13.2	17.4
Support lean	6.0	5.8	5.9
<i>Total support</i>	50.6	39.5	44.9
Oppose a lot	10.3	9.2	9.7
Oppose somewhat	4.5	6.4	5.5
Oppose lean	1.3	6.6	4.0
<i>Total oppose</i>	16.1	22.2	19.2
Undecided	33.3	38.3	35.9

Support/Oppose Proposition C by Age					
Prop C	18-35	36-50	51-65	66+	Total
Support a lot	7.6	16.5	23.7	25.3	21.6
Support somewhat	19.2	13.4	20.9	15.9	17.4
Support lean	6.9	7.5	5.9	4.9	5.9
<i>Total support</i>	33.8	37.4	50.4	46.2	44.9
Oppose a lot	11.8	9.7	10.5	8.6	9.7
Oppose somewhat	8.4	4.9	6.1	4.6	5.5
Oppose lean	0.0	5.1	5.5	3.1	4.0
<i>Total oppose</i>	20.3	19.7	22.1	16.4	19.2
Undecided	46.0	42.9	27.5	37.5	35.9

Support/Oppose Proposition C by Ideology					
Prop C	PROGRESSIVE	LIBERAL	MODERATE	OR CONSERVATIVE	Total
Support a lot	20.4	19.0	19.7	30.8	21.6
Support somewhat	16.9	22.0	18.2	7.5	17.4
Support lean	5.0	4.4	9.5	4.9	5.9
<i>Total support</i>	42.3	45.4	47.4	43.2	44.9
Oppose a lot	9.7	11.0	7.0	11.0	9.7
Oppose somewhat	7.4	4.8	5.4	4.7	5.5
Oppose lean	5.4	4.5	2.6	3.2	4.0
<i>Total oppose</i>	22.6	20.3	15.1	19.0	19.2
Undecided	35.1	34.2	37.5	37.8	35.9

Support/Oppose Proposition C by Labor Union Household			
Prop C	Yes	No	Total
Support a lot	26.6	19.8	21.6
Support somewhat	15.7	18.0	17.4
Support lean	6.1	5.8	5.9
<i>Total support</i>	48.4	43.7	44.9
Oppose a lot	10.3	9.5	9.7
Oppose somewhat	8.0	4.6	5.5
Oppose lean	3.4	4.2	4.0
<i>Total oppose</i>	21.7	18.4	19.2
Undecided	29.8	37.9	35.9

Support/Oppose Proposition C by Sexual Orientation				
Prop C	Straight	LGBT	Refused	Total
Support a lot	20.9	24.3	22.5	21.6
Support somewhat	17.1	20.1	15.1	17.4
Support lean	6.3	7.2	0.0	5.9
<i>Total support</i>	44.2	51.6	37.6	44.9
Oppose a lot	8.7	11.4	15.3	9.7
Oppose somewhat	4.7	7.5	8.5	5.5
Oppose lean	5.1	0.0	2.5	4.0
<i>Total oppose</i>	18.5	19.0	26.4	19.2
Undecided	37.3	29.4	36.0	35.9

Support/Oppose Proposition C by Race and Ethnicity							
Prop C	Latino/Hispanic	White/Caucasian	Black/African-American	Other	API - Chinese	API - Non-Chinese	Total
Support a lot	13.5	22.7	13.1	18.6	23.0	34.5	21.5
Support somewhat	17.6	17.9	12.1	15.6	20.1	8.3	17.3
Support lean	12.0	6.9	1.2	0.0	5.7	7.9	5.9
<i>Total support</i>	43.1	47.5	26.4	34.1	48.9	50.7	44.7
Oppose a lot	0.0	9.1	11.0	16.5	12.1	0.0	9.6
Oppose somewhat	5.6	5.3	2.5	10.7	4.2	17.1	5.5
Oppose lean	9.1	4.5	1.2	4.6	2.8	4.1	4.1
<i>Total oppose</i>	14.7	18.9	14.6	31.7	19.0	21.3	19.3
Undecided	42.3	33.6	58.9	34.1	32.1	28.1	36.0

Support/Oppose Proposition C by Housing Tenure				
Prop C	OWN	RENT	OTHER	Total
Support a lot	25.2	18.6	19.2	21.5
Support somewhat	14.7	19.1	22.8	17.3
Support lean	6.7	6.0	0.0	5.9
<i>Total support</i>	46.6	43.7	42.0	44.7
Oppose a lot	10.5	9.0	9.7	9.6
Oppose somewhat	5.8	5.4	4.2	5.5
Oppose lean	3.3	4.5	5.5	4.1
<i>Total oppose</i>	19.7	18.9	19.4	19.3
Undecided	33.7	37.5	38.6	36.0

Support/Oppose Proposition C by How Much Respondent Has Heard About It					
Prop C	A LOT	SOMEWHAT	A LITTLE	NOT AT ALL	Total
Support a lot	31.3	22.4	15.9	15.4	21.5
Support somewhat	18.6	18.5	19.8	14.0	17.3
Support lean	5.1	6.2	14.0	1.4	5.9
Subtotal	55.0	47.0	49.6	30.8	44.7
Oppose a lot	14.5	8.7	8.2	6.9	9.6
Oppose somewhat	11.0	8.1	2.7	0.4	5.5
Oppose lean	3.2	4.6	6.6	2.8	4.1
Subtotal	28.7	21.4	17.5	10.0	19.3
Undecided	16.3	31.5	32.9	59.2	36.0

Proposition D

This section contains cross-tabulations of respondents' first choices for Proposition D.

Support/Oppose Proposition D by Gender			
Prop D	Male	Female	Total
Support a lot	24.5	12.3	18.2
Support somewhat	15.0	11.8	13.3
Support lean	3.3	5.6	4.5
<i>Total support</i>	42.9	29.6	36.0
Oppose a lot	15.9	15.5	15.7
Oppose somewhat	3.0	8.3	5.8
Oppose lean	1.8	2.2	2.0
<i>Total oppose</i>	20.7	26.0	23.4
Undecided	36.4	44.4	40.5

Support/Oppose Proposition D by Age					
Prop D	18-35	36-50	51-65	66+	Total
Support a lot	12.1	12.3	21.4	19.7	18.2
Support somewhat	14.5	8.4	14.2	14.7	13.3
Support lean	6.6	2.9	7.2	2.5	4.5
<i>Total support</i>	33.3	23.6	42.8	36.9	36.0
Oppose a lot	14.9	14.3	18.1	14.5	15.7
Oppose somewhat	4.2	7.6	5.6	5.4	5.8
Oppose lean	4.2	1.0	2.6	1.5	2.0
<i>Total oppose</i>	23.3	22.9	26.3	21.3	23.4
Undecided	43.4	53.5	30.9	41.8	40.5

Support/Oppose Proposition D by Ideology					
Prop D	PROGRESSIVE	LIBERAL	MODERATE	OR CONSERVATIVE	Total
Support a lot	13.0	15.1	18.8	30.1	18.2
Support somewhat	12.8	17.8	11.8	7.0	13.3
Support lean	4.6	6.0	4.0	1.9	4.5
<i>Total support</i>	30.3	38.9	34.7	38.9	36.0
Oppose a lot	21.7	15.7	11.8	13.9	15.7
Oppose somewhat	8.4	4.4	7.2	3.5	5.8
Oppose lean	2.8	1.5	2.7	1.1	2.0
<i>Total oppose</i>	33.0	21.6	21.7	18.5	23.4
Undecided	36.7	39.6	43.6	42.7	40.5

Support/Oppose Proposition D by Labor Union Household			
Prop D	Yes	No	Total
Support a lot	16.6	18.8	18.2
Support somewhat	12.8	13.5	13.3
Support lean	2.1	5.3	4.5
<i>Total support</i>	31.5	37.6	36.0
Oppose a lot	25.0	12.5	15.7
Oppose somewhat	6.7	5.5	5.8
Oppose lean	2.3	1.9	2.0
<i>Total oppose</i>	33.9	19.9	23.4
Undecided	34.6	42.6	40.5

Support/Oppose Proposition D by Sexual Orientation				
Prop D	Straight	LGBT	Refused	Total
Support a lot	17.9	17.1	23.3	18.2
Support somewhat	12.5	17.9	12.3	13.3
Support lean	5.4	1.4	2.1	4.5
<i>Total support</i>	35.8	36.3	37.7	36.0
Oppose a lot	14.1	23.3	14.9	15.7
Oppose somewhat	6.1	4.9	4.7	5.8
Oppose lean	1.5	4.4	1.3	2.0
<i>Total oppose</i>	21.7	32.5	21.0	23.4
Undecided	42.5	31.1	41.3	40.5

Support/Oppose Proposition D by Race and Ethnicity							
Prop D	Latino/Hispanic	White/Caucasian	Black/African-American	Other	API - Chinese	API - Non-Chinese	Total
Support a lot	14.9	18.0	9.5	19.7	21.5	29.2	18.2
Support somewhat	5.6	15.0	7.9	13.4	14.4	0.0	13.3
Support lean	9.1	4.7	7.9	1.7	1.4	10.9	4.5
<i>Total support</i>	29.6	37.7	25.4	34.8	37.3	40.1	36.0
Oppose a lot	10.3	16.1	14.2	20.6	15.7	6.8	15.7
Oppose somewhat	0.0	6.4	6.2	0.0	4.8	20.9	5.8
Oppose lean	0.0	3.0	0.0	1.7	0.7	0.0	2.0
<i>Total oppose</i>	10.3	25.5	20.4	22.3	21.3	27.7	23.4
Undecided	60.1	36.8	54.2	42.9	41.4	32.2	40.5

Support/Oppose Proposition D by Housing Tenure				
Prop D	OWN	RENT	OTHER	Total
Support a lot	20.8	15.8	19.2	18.2
Support somewhat	10.8	15.8	11.7	13.3
Support lean	4.5	4.4	5.5	4.5
<i>Total support</i>	36.1	35.9	36.5	36.0
Oppose a lot	17.0	13.9	19.9	15.7
Oppose somewhat	6.2	5.6	4.2	5.8
Oppose lean	2.7	1.6	0.0	2.0
<i>Total oppose</i>	25.9	21.2	24.1	23.4
Undecided	38.1	42.9	39.5	40.5

Support/Oppose Proposition D by How Much Respondent Has Heard About It					
Prop D	A LOT	SOMEWHAT	A LITTLE	NOT AT ALL	Total
Support a lot	27.8	14.9	12.0	15.2	18.2
Support somewhat	15.0	15.9	14.5	9.3	13.3
Support lean	2.6	4.4	11.6	1.8	4.5
<i>Total support</i>	45.5	35.2	38.0	26.4	36.0
Oppose a lot	26.9	14.9	11.3	8.3	15.7
Oppose somewhat	5.6	7.2	9.0	3.0	5.8
Oppose lean	1.6	3.4	2.1	1.3	2.0
<i>Total oppose</i>	34.2	25.5	22.4	12.6	23.4
Undecided	20.4	39.3	39.6	61.0	40.5

Full Survey and Topline Results

" How likely is it that you're going to vote in the election for Mayor of San Francisco and other offices this November – are you almost certain to vote, will you probably vote, are the chances about 50-50 that you'll vote, are you probably not going to vote, or are you definitely not going to vote?"

	Total	Non-Chinese	Chinese
Certain	90.3	85.1	90.3
Probably	9.7	8.5	14.9
(Else, terminate survey)			

“Do you think, in general, that San Francisco is moving in the right or wrong direction?”

	Total	Non-Chinese	Chinese
Right direction	63.1	64.5	57.4
Wrong direction	36.9	35.5	42.6

“How would you rate the job performance of Mayor Ed Lee? Do you _____ of its job performance?”

	Total	Non-Chinese	Chinese
Strongly approve	23.1	18.3	42.4
Somewhat approve	54.3	57.2	42.6
Somewhat disapprove	13.9	14.8	10.2
Strongly disapprove	8.7	9.6	4.8

“How would you rate the job performance of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors? Do you _____ of its job performance?”

	Total	Non-Chinese	Chinese
Strongly approve	6.9	6.5	8.1
Somewhat approve	53.8	51.3	64.1
Somewhat disapprove	23.5	24.1	21.0
Strongly disapprove	15.9	18.1	6.8

"This November, there will be several citywide elections, for the offices of the Mayor, the District Attorney, and Sheriff."

“I'd like to know if you've been following the mayor's race at all? Would you say you've been following the mayor's race a lot, somewhat, just a little, or not at all?”

	Total	Non-Chinese	Chinese
A lot	27.7	24.7	40.2
Somewhat	36.7	38.7	28.5

A little	23.5	25.6	15.1
Not at all	12.1	11.1	16.1

"I'd like to know how you would vote for mayor if the election were held today. For this race, you get to rank three candidate choices. I'm going to ask what your first, second, and third choices are for this race"

"If the mayoral election were held today, who would be your first choice for mayor?"
(IF UNDECIDED) "Do you lean towards any of the candidates?"

	Total	Non-Chinese	Chinese
City Attorney Dennis Herrera	8.1	9.5	2.6
State Senator Leland Yee	6.5	6.1	7.7
Appointed Mayor Ed Lee	31.2	24.9	57.3
Assessor-Recorder Phil Ting	0.5	0.6	0.0
President of the Board of Supervisors			
David Chiu	3.1	2.8	4.1
Bevan Dufty	5.2	5.9	2.3
District 11 Supervisor John Avalos	7.4	8.1	4.2
Retired Administrator Tony Hall	3.2	3.2	3.3
Small Businesswoman and Mother			
Michela Alioto-Pier	4.0	4.8	0.7
Public Defender Jeff Adachi	5.1	5.8	2.2
Entrepreneur and Educator Joanna Rees	2.5	2.8	1.4
Someone else	2.1	2.6	0.0
Undecided	21.1	22.8	14.3

"If the mayoral election were held today, who would be your second choice for mayor?"³
(IF UNDECIDED) "Do you lean towards any of the candidates?"

	Total	Non-Chinese	Chinese
City Attorney Dennis Herrera	5.9	6.7	2.9
State Senator Leland Yee	8.6	7.3	14.0
Appointed Mayor Ed Lee	10.8	11.1	9.5
Assessor-Recorder Phil Ting	0.2	0.2	0.0
President of the Board of Supervisors			
David Chiu	8.1	4.2	23.9
Bevan Dufty	5.4	6.2	2.1
District 11 Supervisor John Avalos	4.2	4.8	1.4
Retired Administrator Tony Hall	1.6	1.9	0.7
Small Businesswoman and Mother			
Michela Alioto-Pier	5.9	7.0	1.4
Public Defender Jeff Adachi	5.4	5.8	3.5

³ Respondents were permitted to bullet vote their choices, simulating a real election

Entrepreneur and Educator Joanna Rees	2.6	3.1	0.7
Someone else	1.6	1.9	0.0
Undecided	39.8	39.8	39.8

“If the mayoral election were held today, who would be your third choice for mayor?”
 (IF UNDECIDED) “Do you lean towards any of the candidates?”

	Total	Non-Chinese	Chinese
City Attorney Dennis Herrera	6.4	7.1	3.5
State Senator Leland Yee	3.1	3.0	3.5
Appointed Mayor Ed Lee	6.8	7.0	5.8
Assessor-Recorder Phil Ting	0.6	0.5	0.7
President of the Board of Supervisors			
David Chiu	6.5	4.4	14.7
Bevan Dufty	3.9	4.8	0.0
District 11 Supervisor John Avalos	3.6	4.1	1.4
Retired Administrator Tony Hall	0.9	1.1	0.0
Small Businesswoman and Mother			
Michela Alioto-Pier	4.2	5.1	0.7
Public Defender Jeff Adachi	3.9	4.1	2.8
Entrepreneur and Educator Joanna Rees	2.6	3.3	0.0
Someone else	1.4	1.3	1.4
Undecided	56.2	53.9	65.5

“ If the election for district attorney were held today, who would be your first choice?”
 (IF UNDECIDED) “Do you lean towards any of the candidates?”

	Total	Non-Chinese	Chinese
Assistant District Attorney Sharmin Bock	8.3	4.9	22.5
Trial Attorney Bill Fazio	9.6	11.0	4.0
Appointed District Attorney George Gascon	26.7	26.9	25.8
City Attorney and Commissioner David Onek	6.3	6.0	7.6
Undecided	49.1	51.3	40.1

“If the election for district attorney were held today, who would be your second choice?”
 (IF UNDECIDED) “Do you lean towards any of the candidates?”

	Total	Non-Chinese	Chinese
Assistant District Attorney Sharmin Bock	6.5	6.7	5.6
Trial Attorney Bill Fazio	6.5	6.5	6.4
Appointed District Attorney George	7.8	7.6	8.4

Gascon

City Attorney and Commissioner David

Onek	3.7	3.9	2.8
Undecided	75.6	75.3	76.7

“If the election for district attorney were held today, who would be your third choice?”
 (IF UNDECIDED) “Do you lean towards any of the candidates?”

	Total	Non-Chinese	Chinese
Assistant District Attorney Sharmin Bock	4.3	3.4	8.3
Trial Attorney Bill Fazio	5.2	5.5	4.2
Appointed District Attorney George Gascon	3.6	4.3	0.7
City Attorney and Commissioner David			
Onek	3.0	3.2	2.2
Undecided	83.9	83.7	84.6

“If the election for San Francisco Sheriff were held today, who would your first choice be?”
 (IF UNDECIDED) “Do you lean towards any of the candidates?”

	Total	Non-Chinese	Chinese
Attorney General's Advisor Chris Cunnie	13.3	11.4	20.9
San Francisco Supervisor Ross Mirkarimi	20.8	20.3	22.8
San Francisco Sheriff's Captain Paul Miyamoto	12.9	14.1	7.8
David Wong	2.7	2.2	4.8
Undecided	50.3	52.0	43.5

“I'd like to know if you've heard about pension reform in San Francisco. Would you say you've heard a lot about it, somewhat, just a little, or nothing at all?”

	Total	Non-Chinese	Chinese
A LOT	29.3	30.7	23.4
SOMEWHAT	20.3	19.1	25.0
A LITTLE	19.5	21.9	9.8
NOT AT ALL	30.9	28.2	41.7

“The first pension measure, Proposition C, is named "City Pension And Health Care Benefits". It is the compromise measure from the mayor, most elected officials, business groups, and most city labor unions. It's known as the city version or the city family version. It increases city worker contributions to pensions and health care for employees making over fifty-thousand dollars per year, reduces pension benefits for future City employees, raises the retirement age, and decreases City contributions to retiree health care costs, among other changes. According to the city Controller's office, Prop C is expected to save the city about \$1.3 billion dollars over the next ten years. Do you support or oppose this measure, or are you undecided?”

	Total	Non-Chinese	Chinese
Support a lot	21.6	21.2	23.0
Support somewhat	17.4	16.7	20.1
Support lean	5.9	6.0	5.7
<i>Total support</i>	<i>44.9</i>	<i>43.9</i>	<i>48.9</i>
Oppose somewhat	5.5	5.8	4.2
Oppose a lot	9.7	9.2	12.1
Oppose lean	4.0	4.3	2.8
<i>Total oppose</i>	<i>19.2</i>	<i>19.3</i>	<i>19.0</i>
Undecided	35.9	36.8	32.1

“The second pension reform measure, Proposition D, is named "City Pension Benefits" and was placed on the ballot by signatures. It was proposed by Public Defender Jeff Adachi (Uh-dah'-chee) and is generally called "The Adachi Plan". This measure increases city worker contributions to pensions for those making over fifty-thousand dollars per year, reduces contribution rates and pension benefits for most future City employees; limits cost-of-living adjustments to pension benefits; and addresses differences with the pensions of public safety officers. According to the city Controller's office, Prop D is expected to save the city about \$1.6 billion dollars over the next ten years. Do you support or oppose this measure, or are you undecided?”

	Total	Non-Chinese	Chinese
Support a lot	18.2	17.4	21.5
Support somewhat	13.3	13.1	14.4
Support lean	4.5	5.3	1.4
<i>Total support</i>	<i>36.0</i>	<i>35.7</i>	<i>37.3</i>
Oppose somewhat	5.8	6.0	4.8
Oppose a lot	15.7	15.7	15.7
Oppose lean	2.0	2.3	0.7
<i>Total oppose</i>	<i>23.4</i>	<i>24.0</i>	<i>21.3</i>
Undecided	40.5	40.3	41.4

“Finally, I have just a few questions so you can tell us about yourself. These are for statistical purposes only.” RECORD GENDER

Total		Non-Chinese	Chinese
Men	48.3	46.8	54.5
Women	51.7	53.2	45.5

“In what year were you born? _____”

	Non-Chinese	Chinese	Total
18-35	8.0	10.5	8.5
36-50	21.8	7.3	19.0
51-65	34.8	27.2	33.3
66+	35.4	55.0	39.3

“What is your ethnicity? Are you _____”

Latino/Hispanic	3.9
White/Caucasian	57.9
Black/African-American	9.2
Other	6.5
API - Chinese	19.7
API - Non-Chinese	2.7

“Do you consider yourself politically _____”

	Total	Non-Chinese	Chinese
PROGRESSIVE	21.0	23.8	9.3
LIBERAL	36.2	32.7	50.5
MODERATE	25.2	27.4	16.1
OR CONSERVATIVE	17.7	16.1	24.2

“What is your highest completed level of education? “

	Total	Non-Chinese	Chinese
NOT GRADUATED			
HIGH SCHOOL	6.7	3.0	22.1
HIGH SCHOOL			
GRADUATE	12.2	9.7	22.3

SOME COLLEGE	18.6	20.7	10.1
COLLEGE DEGREE	35.9	37.4	30.0
POSTGRADUATE DEGREE	26.5	29.2	15.4

“Do you own or rent your home?”

	Total	Non-Chinese	Chinese
OWN	44.4	46.3	36.8
RENT	49.1	51.5	39.4
OTHER	6.5	2.2	23.8

“Do you have children under 18 at home?”

	Total	Non-Chinese	Chinese
Yes	14.9	12.9	25.0
No	85.1	87.1	75.0

“Are you or anyone in your family in a labor union?”

	Total	Non-Chinese	Chinese
Yes	25.5	25.1	27.1
No	74.5	74.9	72.9

“And finally, what is your sexual orientation? Are you _____”

	Total	Non-Chinese	Chinese
STRAIGHT	74.9	74.1	78.1
GAY OR LESBIAN	12.0	13.6	5.6
BISEXUAL	1.5	1.4	2.3
TRANSGENDER OR OTHER?	0.0	0.0	0.0
(DO NOT READ)	2.9	2.3	5.1
REFUSED	8.7	8.6	9.0

Errata

Duplicate Table: First Choice Preference for Mayor by Job Approval of Acting Mayor Ed Lee

The original version of this memo contained two identical tables depicting “First Choice Preference for Mayor by Job Approval of Acting Mayor Ed Lee.”

Erroneous Language in Findings Memo Regarding Pension Reform Measures

The original version of this memo contained abbreviated versions of the actual question text of the two pension reform measures. The corrected language is as follows:

“The first pension measure, Proposition C, is named "City Pension And Health Care Benefits". It is the compromise measure from the mayor, most elected officials, business groups, and most city labor unions. It's known as the city version or the city family version. It increases city worker contributions to pensions and health care for employees making over fifty-thousand dollars per year, reduces pension benefits for future City employees, raises the retirement age, and decreases City contributions to retiree health care costs, among other changes. According to the city Controller's office, Prop C is expected to save the city about \$1.3 billion dollars over the next ten years. Do you support or oppose this measure, or are you undecided?”

“The second pension reform measure, Proposition D, is named "City Pension Benefits" and was placed on the ballot by signatures. It was proposed by Public Defender Jeff Adachi (Uh-dah'-chee) and is generally called "The Adachi Plan". This measure increases city worker contributions to pensions for those making over fifty-thousand dollars per year, reduces contribution rates and pension benefits for most future City employees; limits cost-of-living adjustments to pension benefits; and addresses differences with the pensions of public safety officers. According to the city Controller's office, Prop D is expected to save the city about \$1.6 billion dollars over the next ten years. Do you support or oppose this measure, or are you undecided?”

About the University of San Francisco (USF):

The University of San Francisco is in the heart of one of the most innovative and diverse cities and features a vibrant community of students and faculty who achieve excellence in their fields while building a more humane and just world. University of San Francisco students, faculty, and alumni are involved in the entrepreneurial city of San Francisco and work in all industries, from technology to nonprofits. With dedicated professors and exceptional academic programs to choose from, the university offers undergraduate, graduate, and professional students the knowledge and skills needed to develop into ethical leaders who are sought after in their professions. USF's diverse student body benefits from direct access to faculty, small class sizes, and a broad array of programs and co-curricular opportunities. Informed by the university's 156-year-old Jesuit Catholic mission, the USF community ignites students' passion for social justice and the pursuit of the common good. For more information about the University of San Francisco, please visit www.usfca.edu.

About USF Leo T. McCarthy Center for Public Service and the Common Good

The Leo T. McCarthy Center for Public Service and the Common Good is dedicated to inspiring and equipping students at USF to pursue lives and careers of ethical public service and service to others. The Center provides a non-partisan forum for education, service and research in public programs and policy-making. The McCarthy Center values civic engagement and seeks to promote public interest research that encourages civil discourse and constructive interaction among the great diversity of residents and officials in the Bay Area. The Center strives to accomplish its goals by being transparent, nonpartisan and rigorous in designing its work and products. For more information please visit www.usfca.edu/centers/mccarthy/

For additional information about this survey, please contact, Corey Cook, Director and Associate Professor of Politics: 415-422-6163 or Max Neiman, Adjunct Professor of Politics: 310-923-5770.



**UNIVERSITY OF
SAN FRANCISCO**

**Leo T. McCarthy Center
for Public Service and
the Common Good**